

(12) INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(19) World Intellectual Property  
Organization  
International Bureau



(43) International Publication Date  
18 March 2004 (18.03.2004)

PCT

(10) International Publication Number  
**WO 2004/023745 A1**

(51) International Patent Classification<sup>7</sup>: **H04L 12/56**

(21) International Application Number:  
PCT/SG2002/000209

(22) International Filing Date:  
6 September 2002 (06.09.2002)

(25) Filing Language: English

(26) Publication Language: English

(71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): **INFINEON TECHNOLOGIES AG** [DE/DE]; St.-Martin-Strasse 53, 81669 Munich (DE).

(72) Inventors; and

(75) Inventors/Applicants (for US only): **MISHRA, Shridhar, Mubaraq** [IN/US]; 1325A Spruce Street, Berkeley, CA-94709 (US). **PANDEY, Pramod, Kumar** [IN/SG]; Blk 226, Pasir Ris St 21 #11-72, Singapore 510226 (SG). **ARDHANARI, Guruprasad** [IN/SG]; Blk 109 Hougang Ave 1 #09-1012, Singapore 530109 (SG).

(74) Agent: **WATKIN, Timothy, Lawrence, Harvey**; Lloyd Wise, Tanjong Pagar, P.O. Box 636, Singapore 910816 (SG).

(81) Designated States (*national*): AE, AG, AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DZ, EC, EE, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MZ, NO, NZ, OM, PH, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK, SL, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VC, VN, YU, ZA, ZM, ZW.


(84) Designated States (*regional*): ARIPO patent (GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, MZ, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZM, ZW), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE, SK, TR), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

Declaration under Rule 4.17:

— of inventorship (Rule 4.17(iv)) for US only

[Continued on next page]

(54) Title: METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR CONTROLLING BANDWIDTH ALLOCATION



(57) Abstract: A Ethernet switch 1 includes a monitoring unit 9 for policing the amount of traffic on each of a plurality of flows or groups of flows. The monitoring unit has a memory, implemented in hardware as a RAM memory, having a section of each of the flows or groups of flows, and acting as a token bucket for those flows or group of flows.

WO 2004/023745 A1



**Published:**

— *with international search report*

*For two-letter codes and other abbreviations, refer to the "Guidance Notes on Codes and Abbreviations" appearing at the beginning of each regular issue of the PCT Gazette.*

## Method and System for controlling bandwidth allocation

### Related Applications

The present rule is a group of five patent applications having the same priority  
5 date. Application PCT/SG02/-----relates to an switch having an ingress port  
which is configurable to act either as eight FE (fast Ethernet) ports or as a GE  
(gigabit Ethernet port). Application PCT/SG02/----- relates to a parser suitable  
for use in such as switch. Application PCT/SG02/----- relates to a flow engine  
suitable for using the output of the parser to make a comparison with rules.  
10 The present application relates to monitoring bandwidth consumption using  
the results of a comparison of rules with packets. Application PCT/SG02/-----  
relates to a combination of switches arranged as a stack. The respective  
subjects of the each of the group of applications have applications other than  
in combination with the technology described in the other four applications,  
15 but the disclosure of the other applications of the group is incorporated by  
reference.

### Field of the invention

The present application relates to a technique for identifying when the volume  
20 of traffic in one or more data flows through a switch is excessive, and taking  
measures accordingly.

### Background of Invention

The techniques known as "bandwidth policing" limit the traffic of data which is  
attributable to individual users or groups of users, for example according to  
25 the conditions of a contractual Subscriber Level Agreement (SLA). Bandwidth  
policing prevents users from using resources for which they have not paid,  
and, in the case of multiple users who share a particular resource, ensures

that one user does not obtain an unfair share of that resource. A bandwidth policing engine is present for example in Access Aggregators and Ethernet switching equipment user for Customer Access in the last mile.

- 5 An example of bandwidth policing is in the context of the MDU (multiple dwelling units) or MTU (multiple tenant units), where a plurality of users in a building communicate with a communication network such as the Internet using a shared switching system (router).
- 10 One known algorithm for performing bandwidth policing is based on "token buckets". Let us assume that a packet flow to be policed consists of a certain user transmitting packets. In this case, a "token bucket" is assigned to that flow. The user is notionally allocated "tokens" at a uniform rate (called a "replenish rate"). Whenever the user sends a packet he or she uses up as
- 15 many tokens as the length of the packet. Whenever the user attempts to send a packet which is greater than the remaining number of tokens, action is taken, generally of one of the following types:
  - The packet is simply deleted (in the case of a transmission protocol
  - 20 such as TCP the transmission of packets can recover from packets being lost).
  - "Flow control". A "back pressure" is applied to the user, for example a signal transmitted to the source of the packets indicating that no further packets should be sent for a certain time, or indefinitely until a signal is
  - 25 transmitted to permit transmission to recommence.
  - The quality of service is reduced, for example by lowering the priority level of packets transmitted by the user.

Using this technique, the maximum average rate at which the user can

30 transmit packets is limited to the replenish rate. In the event that the user

does not use his or her tokens, they accumulate in the bucket up to a certain maximum: a "burst size". A user with a full bucket can send a single burst of packets having a total size up to the burst size irrespective of the replenish rate  $r$ .

5

A known variation of the above technique is to take a first action when the number of tokens in the bucket falls below a first level, and a second and more severe action when the number of tokens falls below a second level.

The first level defines the "bucket size" such that a packet can always be sent  
10 from the full bucket without action being taken, while the second level defines an "extended bucket size", which can determine the time averaged maximum rate of sending packets.

Conventionally the above bandwidth policing algorithm is implemented using  
15 software in the router. However, this results in a computing overhead and slows down the operation of the router.

### Summary of the Invention

In general terms the present invention proposes that in an Ethernet switch the  
20 bandwidth policing for each of a plurality of flows or groups of flows is performed using a bandwidth monitoring device which is implemented in hardware as a RAM memory. The memory has a section for each of the flows or group of flows.

Each memory section has a first portion for storing a token number and one or  
25 more control parameter indication portions for storing data indicating control parameters of the corresponding flow or group of flows.

Preferably, the device further contain a plurality of parameter storage registers for storing the control parameters, and the control parameter indication

portions of a given section indicate one or more of the parameter storage registers. For example, if the control parameter indication portions of a given section indicate a given one or more of the parameter storage registers, then the control of the flow or flows associated with that memory section are controlled based on the control parameters in the indicated parameter storage registers.

The terms "register" and RAM memory are used here, as is conventional in this art, to be different from each other and such that a register (e.g. implemented as flip-flops) is not a kind of RAM. In implementation terms, a RAM memory is cheaper to implement but less flexible.

#### Brief Description of The Figures

Preferred features of the invention will now be described, for the sake of illustration only, with reference to the following figures in which:

Fig. 1 shows schematically a bandwidth monitoring system which is an embodiment of the invention; and

Fig. 2 is an illustration of the monitoring process shown in Fig. 1.

#### Detailed Description of the embodiments

Referring to Fig. 1 an embodiment of the invention is shown which is an Ethernet switch 1, having a plurality of MAC ingress/egress ports 3 connected to user devices (which may be located within a single building, for example). Port 5 is an ingress/egress port connected to an external communication network such as the Internet.

25

The Ethernet switch further includes a control section 4 having a flow engine 7 for examining packets passing through the Ethernet switch and determining which "flow" they belong to, in other words which of the ports 3, 5 they come

from and which of the ports 3, 5 they are directed to. Note that optionally any one or more of the flows may be associated, to form groups of flows. For example, the flows from a given one of the ports 3 to the port 5 and from the port 5 to the same port 3 may be associated in this way. In this case, the flow engine 7 may, rather than deciding the exact flow to which the packet belongs, determine only which group of flows it belongs to. For each packet flowing through the switch, the flow engine makes this determination and passes the information in the form of a flow ID together with a measured size of the packet, to a monitoring unit 9 also located in the control section 4.

10

The flow engine 7 may optionally be of the sort which is the subject of a separate and copending patent application referred to above, having an even filing date, the disclosure of which is incorporated herein by reference. The flow engine has a number of user defined "rules", each corresponding to a flow or group of flows. The flow engine compares bits parsed from the packets with these rules to determine which of the "rules" the packets obeys, and assigns the packet to the flow or group of flows corresponding to that rule.

15

The monitoring unit 9 issues policing instructions based on the operations described below. As mentioned below, some policing instructions are sent to the MAC ports 3, 5. Others, such as instructions to delete packets, are sent to sections of the control section 4 which implement them according to known methods.

20

The monitoring unit 9 has a memory having a data structure shown in Table 1. The data structure has a number of rows which each correspond to one of the rules (i.e. a flow, or group of flows, to be monitored). For example, if there are 1024 flows, or groups of flows, to be monitored, then Table 1 has 1024 rows as illustrated.

25

30



Rule Number	Bandwidth counter (32bits)	B/EB Selection	Rate Selection
Rule ID 0		3	4
...		...	...
Rule ID X		7	5
...		...	...
Rule ID 1023		4	6

Table 1

For each of the rows, the memory contains a bandwidth counter (e.g. 32bits)  
5 which functions as the corresponding token bucket.

Furthermore, the row contains one or more control parameter indication  
portions. In Table 2, there are two such portions, a B/EB selection portion and  
a rate selection portion. Each of these portions is preferably of low size such  
10 as 2 to 4 bits. In the embodiment illustrated each of the registers is 3 bits long.

The monitoring device 9 further includes 24 programmable parameter storage  
registers, 16 of which are shown in Tables 2, and 8 of which are shown in  
Table 3. Each of the 24 registers contains 32 bits, but their values are not  
15 shown (left blank) in Tables 2 and 3.



B/EB selection	B value	EB value
0		
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		

Table 2

Eight of registers of Table 2 each store B values, and eight of the registers  
5 store EB values. B values and EB are paired, and indexed by a single value of  
the B/EB selection. Thus, the 3-bit B/EB selection portion of a given one of the  
rows of Table 1 indicates a row of Table 2, and this in turn gives the B value  
and EB value associated with that rule (flow or group of flows).

Rate selection	
0	
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	

Table 3

The eight 32-bit registers of table 3 store respective values of a replenish parameter  $r$ , and are indexed by the rate selection values of Table 1.

Therefore, a given row of Table 1 (i.e. one rule, or one flow or group of flows) is associated using the corresponding 3-bit rate selection value in Table 1 with one of the 32-bit replenish parameters  $r$ .

This provides a certain programming flexibility to the operator of the switch at relatively low cost. He can select which 32-bit values are inserted into Tables 2 and 3, and, for each flow, or group of flows, which of the rates in Tables 2 and 3 is used.

There are three processes which access the data structure, which, in descending order of priority, are as follows:

#### 1) *Update.*

An update occurs when a flow match is detected, i.e. the flow engine 7 identifies which rule a packet obeys, and indicates that flow or group of flows and the size of the packet to the monitoring unit 9 (let us say, value  $L$  corresponding to row  $L$  of Table 1). The value of the corresponding bandwidth counter (let us say, value  $b$ ) is read, and it is determined which of the following classifications is obeyed:

<u>Classification</u>	<u>Criterion</u>	<u>Action</u>
1) Conforming	$B \leq b - L$	Forward packet
2) Loosely conforming	$EB \leq b - L < B$	Policing Action 1
3) Not conforming	$b - L < EB$	Policing Action 2

This process is illustrated in Fig. 2 in the case of a loosely conforming packet. Fig. 2 shows that the token bandwidth counter (bucket) has a maximum value of FF-FF-FF-FF (the maximum value of 32 bits in hexadecimal), and a  
5 minimum value of 00-00-00-00.

Each of the policing options may include one or more items chosen from the following list:

- 0) Drop the packet
- 1) Assert flow control on corresponding port, if this option exists
- 10 2) Reduce the priority of the packet
- 3) Forward the packet.

If item 0 is chosen, then only further item 1 is compatible with it. Items 3 and 2 cannot be used in combination with item 1.

For example, policing action 1 may be to forward the packet but reduce its  
15 priority (item 2). Alternatively, it may be to delete the packet and assert flow control (items 0 and 1). Policing action 2 may be to delete the packet and assert flow control (items 0 and 1).

If the action includes forwarding the packet, the  $b$  is reset to  $b-L$ . Otherwise, if the action includes deleting the packet,  $b$  is not reset.

20 The reason for providing for Loosely Conforming packets is because if a packet is received at a time  $t$  between two replenish periods ( $T$  apart) with a length  $L$  such that  $b+(Rt/T) - L$  is greater than  $B$ , then such a packet would be passed if the replenish had been a truly uniform process. Such packets are

classified as loosely conforming, and may be forwarded if this is the action programmed by the user.

This entire operation of reading, subtraction, comparison and memory update can be computed in two or three clock cycles.

5

## 2) *Replenishment*

The bandwidth counters (buckets) are replenished at intervals by a number of tokens  $r$ . This may happen every  $C$  cycles. Instead of replenishing all the  
10 buckets at the same time, one bucket is replenished at every  $C/N$  cycles, where  $N$  is the number of buckets. Thus, each bucket is replenished every  $C$  cycles, but the replenishment cycles for different buckets is phase shifted by multiples of  $C/N$  cycles.

15 Replenishment involves the following steps:

- Reading the counter value and control bits of the bucket.
- Finding the value of  $r$  corresponding to the rate selection value.
- Adding  $r$  to the counter value.
- 20 • Comparing it with the value of FF-FF-FF-FF.
- Writing a value to the counter which is the lower of FF-FF-FF-FF and  $b+r$ .

An update process gets priority over replenishment. In this case, the replenish process is postponed. Since update and replenishment do not take place at  
25 the same time, the adder can be shared between the two processes.

## 3. *Programming*

In this process, the control values are written into the control parameter indication portions. Table 4 shows the typical rates for this. Optionally, it may

be possible to write a value to the counter field also, for debugging processes. This process get the least priority.

Rate	Rate Settings
8 kbits/s	1 (Minimum rate shaping resolution)
64 kbits/s	8
100 Mbits/s	0x30D4
1 Gbits/s	0x1E848
No rate limiting	Greater than 0x1E848

Table 4

- 5 One issue which arises in the embodiment discussed above is how policing is carried out in the case that it is desired to perform different policing actions for different ones of the flows. It would be possible to store action bits for each of the flows indicating which flows are to be given which policing action(s), such that when a packet is found to be loosely conforming or non-conforming, the
- 10 action bits for flow control are checked. However, this solution significantly increases the memory requirements of the system. A preferred solution is for the table 1 to be partitioned into ranges in the vertical direction, and for enough programmable action bits to be provided to store different policing actions for each of the ranges. This means that the user is limited to applying
- 15 the flow control police action to ranges of consecutive flows in Table 1. When the packet classification engine classifies a packet to one of these flows, and this packet is found to be loosely conforming or non-conforming, the action bits for flow control are checked. If flow control is enabled, then a flow control signal is asserted to the corresponding MAC. This causes the
- 20 MAC to send a pause packet to the transmitting station to which it is connected, indicating that the transmitting station stops transmission for a

fixed time or until further notice. Pause frames are specified in IEEE standard 802.1x.

Flow control is de-asserted as follows. While replenishing buckets with rule  
5 IDs in the range reserved for flow control, the monitoring unit observes that  
the number of tokens is less than  $B$ , so that flow control has been applied.  
The replenish process checks the number of tokens which would remain after  
replenish. If it is above the burst size  $B$ , flow control is de-asserted to the  
corresponding MAC. This causes the MAC to send a pause packet to the  
10 transmitting station which a pause time field set to 0. The transmitting station  
thus re-starts transmission.

Claims

1. A data switch for passing packets as packet flows between a plurality of ports, the switch having:

5 a flow detection device for detecting a flow or group of flows to which incoming packets belong; and

a bandwidth monitoring device having a RAM memory with a section for each of the flows or groups of flows, the bandwidth monitoring device being arranged to issue policing instructions based on the size of the packets and a bandwidth counter stored in the RAM memory.

10

2. A data switch for passing packets as packet flows between a plurality of ports, the switch having:

a flow detection device for detecting a flow or group of flows to which each packet belongs; and

15 a bandwidth monitoring device having a RAM memory with a section for each of the flows or groups of flows, the memory sections containing a bandwidth counter for the corresponding flows or groups of flows, the bandwidth monitoring device being arranged:

20 to subtract the size of the packet from the value stored in the bandwidth counter to obtain an adjusted value and to issue a policing instruction according to the relationship of the adjusted value with one or more predetermined levels;

to replace the value stored the bandwidth counter by the adjusted value in the case that the packet is transmitted by the switch; and

25 to replenish the value stored in the bandwidth counter at intervals.



3. A data switch according to claim 2 in which the predetermined levels are different for different flows and determined by data stored in the RAM memory.

4. A data switch according to claim 3 in which the RAM memory includes  
5 control parameter indication portions for each flow or group of flows, the control indication portions indicating respective registers for storing data defining said predetermined levels.

5. A data switch according to any of the preceding claims in which the  
10 flows, or groups of flows, are grouped into ranges, the policing instructions in respect of particular flows or groups of flows depending upon the range in which those flows, or groups of flows, lie.

6. A method of policing flows of packets within a data switch for passing packets between a plurality of ports, the method including:

15 storing a bandwidth counter for each of the flows or groups of flows in a RAM memory with a section for each of the flows;

detecting a flow or group of flows to which each packets belongs;

subtracting the size of the packet from the value stored in the bandwidth counter to obtain an adjusted value;

20 issuing a policing instruction based on the adjusted value according to the position of the adjusted value with respect to predetermined levels;

if, despite any such policing instruction, the packet is transmitted, adjusting the value stored the bandwidth counter by the size of the packet; and

replenishing the value stored in each bandwidth counter at intervals.

7. A method according to claim 6 in which the predetermined levels are different for different flows and determined by data stored in the RAM memory.

8. A method according to claim 7 in which the RAM includes control  
5 parameter indication portions for each flow or group of flows, the control indication portions indicating respective registers for storing data defining said predetermined levels.

9. A method according to any of claims 6 to 8 in which the flows, or  
10 groups of flows, are grouped into ranges, and upon it being determined that a policing instruction is to be issued in respect of a particular flow or group of flows, the policing instruction being generated depending upon the range in which those flows, or groups of flows, lie.

FIG 1





FIG 2



# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No

PCT/52/00209

## A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER

IPC 7 H04L12/56

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

## B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

IPC 7 H04L H04Q

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

EPO-Internal, WPI Data, INSPEC

## C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	<p>WO 01 65765 A (SCHMID OTTO ANDREAS ;HEGDE MANJU (US); DAVIS CURTIS (US); MAHER MO) 7 September 2001 (2001-09-07)</p> <p>abstract</p> <p>page 2, line 12 -page 2, line 22</p> <p>page 4, line 21 -page 6, line 36</p> <p>page 7, line 30 -page 8, line 3</p> <p>page 8, line 16 -page 10, line 21</p> <p>page 10, line 34 -page 14, line 19</p> <p>page 20, line 8 -page 23, line 13; claims 1-8,16-32; figures 1-11</p> <p>--- -/--</p>	1-9

☒ Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.

☒ Patent family members are listed in annex.

### \* Special categories of cited documents :

- \*A\* document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance
- \*E\* earlier document but published on or after the international filing date
- \*L\* document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)
- \*O\* document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means
- \*P\* document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

- \*T\* later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention
- \*X\* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone
- \*Y\* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.
- \*G\* document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

23 June 2003

Date of mailing of the international search report

01/07/2003

Name and mailing address of the ISA

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2  
NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk  
Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl,  
Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Todorut, C

# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No

PCT/SG/00209

## C.(Continuation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	<p>WO 02 060098 A (CRESCENT NETWORKS INC)  1 August 2002 (2002-08-01)  abstract  page 4, line 15 -page 8, line 28  page 10, line 7 -page 11, line 2  page 11, line 19 -page 13, line 23  page 14, line 8 -page 18, line 24  page 19, line 7 -page 22, line 29  page 23, line 3 -page 24, line 30  page 28, line 9 -page 31, line 8  page 31, line 22 -page 32, line 24; claims  1-8,11-16; figures 1-13</p> <p style="text-align: center;">---</p>	1-9
X	<p>EP 1 058 424 A (HITACHI LTD)  6 December 2000 (2000-12-06)  abstract  column 4, line 9 -column 5, line 12  column 6, line 34 -column 7, line 1  column 7, line 41 -column 8, line 14  column 8, line 50 -column 9, line 7  column 9, line 37 -column 9, line 58  column 10, line 8 -column 11, line 11  column 11, line 23 -column 14, line 6  column 14, line 38 -column 15, line 11  column 16, line 14 -column 16, line 35;  claims 1-7,10-13; figures 1-11</p> <p style="text-align: center;">---</p>	1-9
X	<p>US 6 381 649 B1 (CARLSON JAMES D)  30 April 2002 (2002-04-30)  abstract  column 1, line 9 -column 1, line 45  column 1, line 61 -column 4, line 25  column 5, line 22 -column 5, line 63  column 6, line 15 -column 8, line 8  column 8, line 27 -column 9, line 22  column 10, line 10 -column 10, line 28  column 10, line 44 -column 11, line 26;  claims 1-23,25-36; figures 1-5</p> <p style="text-align: center;">---</p>	1-9
X	<p>US 2002/152306 A1 (TUCK RUSSELL R)  17 October 2002 (2002-10-17)  the whole document</p> <p style="text-align: center;">---</p>	1-9
A	<p>EP 0 932 281 A (MATSUSHITA ELECTRIC IND CO  LTD) 28 July 1999 (1999-07-28)  abstract  column 3, line 9 -column 6, line 24  column 8, line 48 -column 10, line 6  column 13, line 10 -column 13, line 29  column 14, line 50 -column 15, line 35;  claims 1-4; figures 2-5,8-10</p> <p style="text-align: center;">---</p>	1-9

-/--

# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No  
PCT/SG 2/00209

C.(Continuation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT		
Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	<p>GB 2 367 715 A (MARCONI COMM LTD) 10 April 2002 (2002-04-10) abstract page 1, line 6 -page 2, line 18 page 4, line 9 -page 8, line 21 page 15, line 10 -page 16, line 6; claims 1-4; figures 1-6</p> <p style="text-align: center;">---</p>	1-9
A	<p>EP 1 030 485 A (CIT ALCATEL) 23 August 2000 (2000-08-23) abstract column 2, line 33 -column 3, line 29 column 4, line 22 -column 5, line 25 column 7, line 24 -column 8, line 8; claims 1,6; figure 1</p> <p style="text-align: center;">---</p>	1-9
A	<p>WO 02 15488 A (ABATIS SYSTEMS CORP) 21 February 2002 (2002-02-21) abstract page 1, line 5 -page 1, line 32 page 2, line 26 -page 3, line 11 page 4, line 23 -page 6, line 26 page 7, line 29 -page 9, line 5 page 9, line 25 -page 10, line 11 page 10, line 23 -page 13, line 5 page 13, line 11 -page 14, line 5 page 14, line 15 -page 15, line 16 page 15, line 24 -page 16, line 6 page 32, line 3 -page 33, line 22; claims 1-3,8,11,12,21; figures 1-9</p> <p style="text-align: center;">-----</p>	1-9

# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No

PCT/SI/00209

Patent document cited in search report		Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
WO 0165765	A	07-09-2001	AU 3277401 A WO 0165765 A2	12-09-2001 07-09-2001
WO 02060098	A	01-08-2002	WO 02060098 A2 US 2002097677 A1	01-08-2002 25-07-2002
EP 1058424	A	06-12-2000	JP 2000349812 A EP 1058424 A2	15-12-2000 06-12-2000
US 6381649	B1	30-04-2002	AU 2744500 A CA 2326124 A1 CN 1300490 T EP 1068702 A1 JP 2002536913 T TW 453073 B WO 0046961 A1 US 2002152306 A1	25-08-2000 10-08-2000 20-06-2001 17-01-2001 29-10-2002 01-09-2001 10-08-2000 17-10-2002
US 2002152306	A1	17-10-2002	US 6381649 B1 AU 2744500 A CA 2326124 A1 CN 1300490 T EP 1068702 A1 JP 2002536913 T TW 453073 B WO 0046961 A1	30-04-2002 25-08-2000 10-08-2000 20-06-2001 17-01-2001 29-10-2002 01-09-2001 10-08-2000
EP 0932281	A	28-07-1999	JP 3027369 B2 JP 11275151 A EP 0932281 A1 JP 2000196641 A US 6404777 B1	04-04-2000 08-10-1999 28-07-1999 14-07-2000 11-06-2002
GB 2367715	A	10-04-2002	GB 2359214 A AU 2694301 A CN 1398498 T EP 1254582 A1 WO 0160110 A1 GB 2367716 A , B HK 1036175 A1	15-08-2001 20-08-2001 19-02-2003 06-11-2002 16-08-2001 10-04-2002 02-08-2002
EP 1030485	A	23-08-2000	EP 1030485 A1 JP 2001045004 A	23-08-2000 16-02-2001
WO 0215488	A	21-02-2002	WO 0215488 A1 AU 6675100 A	21-02-2002 25-02-2002